

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 154/11

CVG 1200-10665 JASPER AVENUE EDMONTON, AB T5J 3S9 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on August 31, 2011, respecting a complaint for:

Roll	Municipal	Legal	Assessed Value	Assessment	Assessment
Number	Address	Description		Type	Notice for:
1537307	16135 114 Avenue NW	Plan: 987KS Block: 5 Lot: 13	\$3,187,000	Annual New	2011

Before:

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Petra Hagemann, Board Member Tom Eapen, Board Member

Board Officer: Jason Morris

Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:

Peter Smith, CVG

Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

Joel Schmaus, City of Edmonton, Assessor

BACKGROUND

The subject property is a multiple-tenant warehouse/office building located at 16135 - 114Avenue in the Sheffield Industrial area of Northwest Edmonton. The building has a total of 38,370 sq ft including 4,133 sq ft of office space. The size of the lot is 104,090 sq ft with a site coverage of 37%. The building was constructed in 1971.

ISSUE(S)

What is the market value of the subject property as at July 1, 2010?

LEGISLATION

Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant submitted nine sales comparables ranging in value from \$70.08/sq ft to \$95.39/sq ft. The indicated best comparables with most similar characteristics to the subject are #1, 3, 6 and 9 ranging in time adjusted sales price from \$70.08/sq ft to \$95.39/sq ft indicating the assessment of the subject to be excessive.

The Complainant is requesting a reduction in assessment to \$75.00/sq ft for a total value of \$2,878,000.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent advised the Board that the assessment is based on mass appraisal as per legislation/regulation.

The Respondent provided seven sales comparables to support the assessment of the subject. These range in value from \$79.67/sq ft to \$157.98/sq ft.

The Respondent provided fourteen equity comparables in support of the assessment of the subject. These range in value from \$77.37/sq ft to \$85.98/sq ft.

The Respondent also included a chart analyzing the sales comparables provided by the Complainant complete with comments.

DECISION

The decision of the Board is to confirm the 2011 assessment at \$3,187,000.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Board determined that the Complainant's most relied upon comparable #1 required adjustment due to the configuration which resulted in restricted access. It also has higher site coverage than the subject property. Sale # 2 required renovations. Sale #3 requires new leases. Sale #4 has higher site coverage of 56%. Sale #5 had required several renovations. Sale #6, 7, 8 and 9 are all post facto and were not considered.

The Board concluded that there was not sufficient evidence to alter the 2011 assessment.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There were no dissenting decisions.

Dated this 7^{th day} of September, 2011, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

cc: WCBME INC ADMNS EDMIND INVESTMENT CORPORATION